UCI LIBRARY

AUG 3 0 2006



20001

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application Number

09/851,177

Applicants

HU, X.D., et al.

Filing Date TC/A.U.

May 8, 2001

Examiner

1754

Attorney Docket No.

C. Nguyen 7L 494/01001

Title:

HIGH SURFACE AREA, SMALL CRYSTALLITE SIZE CATALYST

FOR FISCHER-TROPSCH SYNTHESIS

Commissioner for Patents Director of Technology Center 1700 Fax No. 571-273-8300

3rd REQUEST FOR STATUS (no response received to fax sent March 27, 2006 or May 8, 2006)

Dear Sir/Madam:

In response to a notice of abandonment for the above referenced application, we filed withdrawal of holding abandonment based on timely filed and received response to an office action. We received a petition granted for this request on September 16, 2004 (see attached).

Please inform us of any additional office letters sent to Süd-Chemie Inc. for patent application 09/851,177.

Thank you for your assistance.

Sincercly,

Jenna Jewil Donna Ferrill

Legal Assistant to Joan L. Simunic (Reg. No. 43,125)

Phone 502-634-7029

Fax 502-634-7724



SEP 1 6 2004 2003 February

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Technology Center 1700.



AUG 2 5 2004 Mailed:	rvk Pape	r Number:
In re application of:	:	
X.D. Hu	:	DECISION ON
Serial No. 09/851,177	:	PETITION
Filed: May 08, 2001	:	
For: HIGH SURFACE AREA, SMALL CRY	STALLITE SIZ	ZE CATALYST

FOR FISCHER-TROPRSCH SYNTHESIS:

This is a response to the REQUEST FOR WITHDRAWAL OF HOLDING ABANDONMENT BASED ON REPLY TIMELY FILED AND RECEIVED IN THE OFFICE BUT NOT CORRELATED WITH THE APPLICATION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. 1.181, filed May 24, 2004. The requester asks that the abandonment, as set forth in the Notice of Abandonment of November 18, 2003, for failure to timely file a proper response to the Office letter dated May 26, 2003 be withdrawn. The requester asserts that applicants timely filed a proper response and Petition for Extension of Time on September 24, 2003.

DECISION

The instant request is accepted as a petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.181 (no fee).

A review of the petitioner's evidence provided with the instant petition indicates that the request has merit. The evidence presented is sufficient to establish that the applicants did file the proper response to the Office letter of May 26, 2003 in a timely manner. The evidence provided includes: 1) copies of the Response (Exhibit A) to the Office letter dated May 26, 2003 and a Petition for three months Extension of Time with payment by credit card of \$930.00 (Exhibit B) with Certificates of Facsimile Transmission thereon certifying that the Response and Petition for Extension of Time were timely transmitted to the USPTO on September 24, 2003; and 2) a copy of the Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission